arXiv:math/0703104v1 [math.AG] 5 Mar 2007

ON THE ALGEBRAIC HOLONOMY OF STABLE PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

INDRANIL BISWAS

Abstract. Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over a compact connected Kähler manifold, where G is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $H \subset G$ be a complex reductive subgroup which is not necessarily connected, and let $E_H \subset E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group. We prove that E_H is preserved by the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. Using this we show that if E_H is a minimal reductive reduction, which means that there is no complex reductive proper subgroup of H to which E_H admits a holomorphic reduction of structure group, then E_H is unique in the following sense: For any other minimal reduction of structure group $(H', E_{H'})$ of E_G to some reductive subgroup H', there is some element $g \in G$ such that $H' = g^{-1}Hg$ and $E_{H'} = E_H g$. As an application, we show the following:

Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension n such that the Picard number of M is one. If the canonical line bundle K_M is ample, then the algebraic holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. If K_M^{-1} is ample, the rank of the Picard group of M is one, the biholomorphic automorphism group of M is finite, and M admits a Kähler–Einstein metric, then the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}M$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

These answer some questions posed in [BK].

1. Introduction

In [BK], Balaji and Kollár introduced the notion of algebraic holonomy of polystable vector bundles on normal projective varieties defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Given a polystable vector bundle E over M together with a smooth point $x \in M$, the algebraic holonomy of E is a canonically associated complex reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(E_x)$, the group of all linear automorphisms of the fiber E_x, which is constructed using the restrictions of E to the general complete intersection curves of sufficiently large degrees that pass through x. Our aim here is to address some questions in [BK], which are recalled below, on the algebraic holonomy.

Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety equipped with a Kähler–Einstein metric. In [BK, Question 9] it is asked whether the algebraic holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ coincides with the complexification of the differential geometric holonomy of M.

Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety whose Néron–Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(M)$ is of rank one. We note that $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{NS}(M)) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Pic}(M))$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 32Q20, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic holonomy, stability, Einstein–Hermitian connection.

because M is simply connected. In [BK, Question 51] it is asked whether the condition that the canonical line bundle K_M is ample implies that the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}M$ is full $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We note that from a theorem of Aubin and Yau it follows that the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ of M is polystable provided K_M is ample. If the anticanonical line bundle K_M^{-1} is ample, $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \leq \infty$, and the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is polystable, the Question 48 of [BK] asks whether the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}M$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

We show that both Question 9 and Question 51 have an affirmative answer. We also show that Question 48 has an affirmative answer under the extra assumption that M admits a Kähler–Hermitian metric.

Let M be a compact connected Kähler manifold equipped with a Kähler form. Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. It is known that any stable principal G–bundle over M admits a unique Einstein–Hermitian connection.

We prove the following (see Theorem 2.3):

Theorem 1.1. *Let E_G be a stable G–bundle over M. Let H be a complex reductive subgroup of G which is not necessarily connected, and let $E_H \subset E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G to H. Then the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G is induced by a connection on E_H.*

Let H be a complex reductive subgroup of G which is not necessarily connected, and let $E_H \subset E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group to H of a stable G–bundle E_G defined over M. Assume that there is no complex reductive proper subgroup of H to which E_H admits a holomorphic reduction of structure group. Such reductions will be called the minimal reductive ones. Theorem 1.1 says that E_H is preserved by the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G.

Fix a point $x_0 \in M$, and also choose a point in the fiber $z \in (E_G)_z$. Taking parallel translations, for the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G, of z along piecewise smooth paths in M based at x_0 we get a subset of E_G. The topological closure, in E_G, of this subset gives a smooth reduction of structure group of E_G to a compact subgroup $\overline{K}_E \subset G$. The corresponding smooth reduction of structure group $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ of E_G to the Zariski closure $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ of $\overline{K}_E$ in G is actually holomorphic. We prove the following (see Theorem 3.1):

Theorem 1.2. *There is a point $z_0 \in (E_G)_z$ such that the above minimal reductive reduction E_H coincides with $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$. In particular, H coincides with $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ for such a base point z_0.*

Consequently, if $(H\,, E_H)$ and $(H'\,, E_{H'})$ are two minimal reductive reductions of E_G, then there is an element $g \in G$ such that $H' = g^{-1}Hg$ and $E_{H'} = E_H g$. This gives us the following corollary (Corollary 3.2):

Corollary 1.3. *Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over M. Then there is a unique holomorphic sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$ of the adjoint bundle* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$*, with fibers being subgroups, that satisfies the following condition: For any minimal reductive reduction E_H of E_G, the adjoint bundle* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_H)$*, which is a sub–fiber bundle of* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$*, coincides with $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$.*

The sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G} \subset \mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ in Corollary 1.3 is the complexification of the holonomy of the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. While the stability condition does not depend on the choice of a Kähler form in a given Kähler class, the Einstein–Hermitian connection on a stable bundle depends on the choice of the Kähler form. We note that the sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$ does not depend either on the Kähler form or on the Kähler class as long as E_G remains stable. When M is a complex projective manifold, $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$ coincides with the algebraic holonomy of E_G. In particular, Theorem 1.2 gives an affirmative answer to Question 9 of [BK].

In Theorem 6.1 we prove the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension n such that the canonical line bundle K_M is ample, and* $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{NS}(M)) = 1$*. Then the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}M$ is* $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$*.*

In Theorem 6.2 we prove the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension n satisfying the following four conditions:*

- *the anticanonical line bundle K_M^{-1} is ample, and M admits a Kähler–Einstein metric,*
- $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{NS}(M)) = 1$,
- *the biholomorphic automorphism group of M is finite, and*
- *the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is polystable.*

Then the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}M$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$*.*

The following structure theorem for Kähler–Einstein manifolds with nonzero Ricci forms was formulated by János Kollár after seeing an earlier version of the manuscript.

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety admitting a Kähler metric g that satisfies the Kähler–Einstein equation*

$$\rho(g) = \lambda \cdot \omega$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$, where $\rho(g)$ is the Ricci form for g. Then there is a connected finite étale Galois cover

$$\phi : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M$$

such that the Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}\, , \phi^* g)$ *is biholomorphically isometric to a Cartesian product*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} (N_i\, , g_i)\, ,$$

and furthermore,

- *each* $(N_i\, , g_i)$, $i\, \in\, [1\, , d]$, *is a compact connected Kähler manifold satisfying the Kähler–Einstein equation with the constant of proportionality coinciding with* λ *in eqn.* (6.1), *and*
- *for each* $i\, \in\, [1\, , d]$, *at least one of the following two statements is valid:*

(1) *The universal cover of* N_i *equipped with the pull back of the Kähler metric* g_i *is a Hermitian symmetric space.*
(2) *The algebraic holonomy of* $T^{1,0}N_i$ *is* $\mathrm{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{C})$, *where* n_i *is the complex dimension of* N_i.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to János Kollár for formulating Theorem 1.6. The author is very grateful to Dominic D. Joyce for his help with Lemma 4.1.

2. Einstein–Hermitian connection and reduction of structure group

Let M be a compact connected Kähler manifold equipped with a Kähler form ω. The *degree* of a torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf V on M is defined to be

$$\mathrm{degree}(V) \, := \, (c_1(V) \cup \omega^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M - 1}) \cap [M] \, \in \, \mathbb{R}\, .$$

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Since the degree has been defined, we have the notions of a stable G–bundle and a polystable G–bundle over M. See [RS], [AB], [Ra2] for definitions of stable and polystable principal G–bundles.

Fix a maximal torus $T\, \subset\, G$ and a Borel subgroup $B\, \subset\, G$ containing T. We also fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G. By a parabolic subgroup of G we will mean one containing B. Therefore, the Levi quotient $L(Q)$ of any parabolic subgroup $Q\, \subset\, G$ is also a subgroup of Q. Let $Z_0(G)$ denote the connected component of the center of G that contains the identity element.

Take any polystable principal G–bundle E_G over M. Therefore, there is a Levi subgroup $L(P)$ associated to some parabolic subgroup $P\, \subset\, G$ (the subgroup P need not be proper) along with a holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_{L(P)}\, \subset\, E_G$ to $L(P)$, such that

- the principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ is stable, and
- the principal P–bundle $E_P\, :=\, E_{L(P)}(P)$, obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{L(P)}$ using the inclusion $L(P)$ in P, is an admissible reduction of E_G.

Note that since $L(P)\, \subset\, P\, \subset\, G$, the P–bundle E_P is a reduction of structure group of E_G to P. The condition that E_P is an admissible reduction of structure group of E_G

means that for each character χ of P, which is trivial on $Z_0(G)$, the associated line bundle $E_P(\chi)$ over M is of degree zero. The G–bundle E_G is stable if and only if $P = G$.

Since E_G and $E_{L(P)}$ are polystable, they admit unique Einstein–Hermitian connections [AB, p. 208, Theorem 0.1]. It should be clarified that the Einstein–Hermitian reduction of structure to a maximal compact subgroup depends on the choice of the maximal compact subgroup. Even for a fixed maximal compact subgroup, the Einstein–Hermitian reduction of structure group need not be unique. However, once the Kähler form on M is fixed, the Einstein–Hermitian connection on a polystable principal bundle over M is unique. Let $\nabla^{L(P)}$ be the Einstein–Hermitian connection on the stable $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$. Let ∇^G be the connection on E_G induced by $\nabla^{L(P)}$. Note that a connection on $L(P)$ induces a connection on any fiber bundle associated to $E_{L(P)}$. The principal G–bundle E_G is associated to $E_{L(P)}$ for the left translation action of $L(P)$ on G, and ∇^G is the connection on it obtained from $\nabla^{L(P)}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The induced connection ∇^G on E_G coincides with the unique Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G.*

Proof. Since the inclusion map $L(P) \hookrightarrow G$ need not take the connected component, containing the identity element, of the center of $L(P)$ into $Z_0(G)$, the proposition does not follow immediately from [AB, p. 208, Theorem 0.1]. The connection $\nabla^{L(P)}$ on $E_{L(P)}$ is induced by a connection on a smooth reduction of structure group of $E_{L(P)}$ to a maximal compact subgroup of $L(P)$ (this is a part of the definition of a Einstein–Hermitian connection). A maximal compact subgroup of $L(P)$ is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of G. Consequently, the connection ∇^G on E_G is induced by a connection on a smooth reduction of structure group of E_G to a maximal compact subgroup of G. Therefore, to prove that ∇^G is the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G it suffices to show that ∇^G satisfies the Einstein–Hermitian equation.

Let $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}(P))$ be the Lie algebra of the center of $L(P)$. For any $\theta \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}(P))$, the holomorphic section of the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ given by θ will be denoted by $\widehat{\theta}$; the vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ is associated to $E_{L(P)}$ for the adjoint action of $L(P)$ on its Lie algebra. Let Λ_ω be the adjoint of multiplication by ω of differential form on M. That the connection $\nabla^{L(P)}$ is Einstein–Hermitian means that there is an element $\theta \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}(P))$ such that the Einstein–Hermitian equation

$$\Lambda_\omega \mathcal{K}_{\nabla^{L(P)}} = \widehat{\theta} \tag{2.1}$$

holds.

If θ in eqn. (2.1) is in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(G)$ of $Z_0(G)$, then ∇^G is a Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. Therefore, in that case the proposition is proved. Assume that

$$\theta \notin \mathfrak{z}(G)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Fix a character χ of P which is trivial on $Z_0(G)$ but satisfies the following condition: the homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathrm{d}\chi\,:\,\mathfrak{p}\,\longrightarrow\,\mathbb{C} \tag{2.3}$$

given by χ, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the Lie algebra of P, is nonzero on θ. (It is easy to check that the group of characters of P coincides with the group of characters of $L(P)$.)

Consider the holomorphic line bundle $L_\chi\,:=\,E_P(\chi)$ over M associated to E_P for χ. Let ∇^χ be the connection on L_χ induced by the connection on E_P given by $\nabla^{L(P)}$. Since $\nabla^{L(P)}$ is a Einstein–Hermitian connection, the connection ∇^χ is also Einstein–Hermitian. Indeed, if $\mathcal{K}(\nabla^\chi)$ is the curvature of ∇^χ, then

$$\Lambda_\omega\mathcal{K}(\nabla^\chi)\,=\,\mathrm{d}\chi(\theta)\,,$$

where $\mathrm{d}\chi$ is the homomorphism in eqn. (2.3) and θ is the element in eqn. (2.1). Therefore,

$$\mathrm{degree}(L_\chi)\,=\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi(\theta)\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi d}\int_M\omega^d\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $d\,=\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M$; see [Kob, p. 103, Proposition 2.1].

The condition that $E_P\,\subset\,E_G$ is an admissible reduction of structure group says that

$$\mathrm{degree}(L_\chi)\,=\,0\,.$$

This, in view of the assumption in eqn. (2.2) that $\mathrm{d}\chi(\theta)\,\neq\,0$, contradicts eqn. (2.4). Therefore, we conclude that $\theta\,\in\,\mathfrak{z}(G)$. This immediately implies that the connection ∇^G on E_G is Einstein–Hermitian. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G–bundle over M and ∇' a C^∞ connection on E_G compatible with the holomorphic structure of E_G. Such a connection is called a complex connection; see [AB, p. 230, Definition 3.1(1)] for the precise definition of a complex connection. A connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is complex if and only if the $(0\,,2)$–Hodge type component of the curvature of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ vanishes identically.

Definition 2.2. Let H be a closed complex subgroup of G and $E_H\,\subset\,E_G$ a C^∞ reduction of structure group of E_G to H. We will say that E_H is *preserved* by the connection ∇' if ∇' induces a connection on E_H.

It follows immediately that E_H is preserved by ∇' if and only if there is a smooth connection on E_H that induces the connection ∇' on E_G. It is easy to see that E_H is preserved by ∇' if and only if for each point $z\,\in\,E_H$, the horizontal subspace in T_zE_G for the connection ∇' is contained in T_zE_H. If E_H is preserved by ∇', then E_H is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G.

Theorem 2.3. *Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over M and ∇^G the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. Let H be a complex reductive subgroup of G which is not necessarily connected, and let $E_H\,\subset\,E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G to H. Then E_H is preserved by the connection ∇^G.*

Proof. Let $H_0 \subset H$ be the connected component containing the identity element. Set

$$X := E_H/H_0 ,$$

which is finite étale Galois cover of M with Galois group H/H_0. Let

$$p : X \longrightarrow M \tag{2.5}$$

be the projection. We note that p^*E_H has a canonical reduction of structure group to the subgroup $H_0 \subset H$. Set

$$F_G := p^*E_G .$$

Let $F_{H_0} \subset F_G$ be the reduction of structure group to H_0 obtained from the canonical reduction of structure group of p^*E_H to H_0.

Equip X with the Kähler form $p^*\omega$. The Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G pulls back to a Einstein–Hermitian connection on F_G for the Kähler form $p^*\omega$. Therefore, F_G is polystable with respect to $p^*\omega$. Let $\mathrm{ad}(F_G)$ be the adjoint bundle over X. We recall that $\mathrm{ad}(F_G)$ is associated to F_G for the adjoint action of G on its Lie algebra. The connection on the vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(F_G)$ induced by the Einstein–Hermitian connection of F_G is clearly Einstein–Hermitian. Hence the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(F_G)$ is polystable.

Let $Z(G)$ be the center of G. Set

$$H' := H_0/(H_0 \cap Z(G)) .$$

Therefore, H' is a complex reductive subgroup of the complex semisimple group $G' := G/Z(G)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{h}_0$) be the Lie algebra of G (respectively, H_0). Note that the adjoint action makes $\mathfrak{g}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{h}_0$) a G'–module (respectively, H'–module). We will also consider $\mathfrak{g}$ as a H'–module using the inclusion of H' in G'.

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a faithful G'–module, and H' is a reductive subgroup of G', there is a positive integer N and nonnegative integers a_i, b_i, $i \in [1\,, N]$, such that the H'–module $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is a direct summand of the H'–module

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes a_i} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes b_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes a_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes b_i} \tag{2.6}$$

[De, p. 40, Proposition 3.1]; since H' is complex reductive, any exact sequence of H'–modules splits; also, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^*$ as G is reductive. Therefore, the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(F_{H_0})$ is a direct summand of the vector bundle

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{ad}(F_G)^{\otimes a_i} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(F_G)^{\otimes b_i} . \tag{2.7}$$

We note that the vector bundle in eqn. (2.7) is associated to F_{H_0} for the H_0–module in eqn. (2.6).

Since the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(F_G)$ is polystable of degree zero (recall that $\mathrm{ad}(F_G) = \mathrm{ad}(F_G)^*$), the vector bundle

$$\mathrm{ad}(F_G)^{\otimes a} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(F_G)^{\otimes b}$$

is polystable of degree zero for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ [AB, p. 224, Theorem 3.9]. Therefore, the vector bundle in eqn. (2.7) is polystable of degree zero. Since $\text{ad}(F_{H_0})$ is a direct summand of it of degree zero, we conclude that $\text{ad}(F_{H_0})$ is also polystable. Consequently, the principal H_0–bundle F_{H_0} is polystable [AB, p. 224, Corollary 3.8]. Hence F_{H_0} admits a unique Einstein–Hermitian connection [AB, p. 208, Theorem 0.1]. Let ∇^{H_0} be the Einstein–Hermitian connection on F_{H_0}. So, there is an element $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$\Lambda_{p^*\omega}\mathcal{K}(\nabla^{H_0}) = \widehat{\nu}\,, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\nabla^{H_0})$ is the curvature of ∇^{H_0}, and $\widehat{\nu}$ is the holomorphic section of $\text{ad}(p^*E_H) = \text{ad}(F_{H_0})$ given by ν.

Since H/H_0 is a finite group, giving a connection on a principal H_0–bundle is equivalent to giving a connection on the principal H–bundle obtained from it by extension of structure group. From the uniqueness of the Einstein–Hermitian connection on F_{H_0} it follows that the corresponding connection on p^*E_H is left invariant by the action of the Galois group H/H_0. Consequently, the connection on p^*E_H given by ∇^{H_0} descends to a connection on E_H. Let ∇^H denote the connection on E_H obtained this way. It is clear that ∇^H is a complex connection.

Let ∇ be the complex connection on E_G induced by the above connection ∇^H on E_H. We will first show that ∇ is unitary, which means that ∇ is induced by connection on a smooth reduction of structure group of E_G to a maximal compact subgroup of G. Then we will show that ∇ satisfies the Einstein–Hermitian equation.

To prove that ∇ is unitary, fix a point $x_0 \in M$, and also fix a point $z_0 \in (E_G)_{x_0}$ in the fiber of E_G over x_0. Taking parallel translations of z_0, with respect to the connection ∇, along piecewise smooth paths in M based at x_0 we get a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of E_G. Sending any $g \in G$ to the point $z_0 g \in (E_G)_{x_0}$ we get an isomorphism $G \longrightarrow (E_G)_{x_0}$. Using this isomorphism, the intersection $(E_G)_{x_0} \bigcap \mathcal{S}$ is a subgroup K_E of G. The condition that the connection ∇ is unitary is equivalent to the condition that K_E is contained in some compact subgroup of G.

Fix a point $x \in p^{-1}(x_0)$, and also fix a point $z \in (p^*E_G)_x$ that projects to z, where p is the covering map in eqn. (2.5). Consider parallel translations of z, with respect to the connection $p^*\nabla$ on $p^*E_G =: F_G$, along piecewise smooth paths in X based at x. As before, we get a subgroup $K_F \subset G$ from the resulting subset of F_G. It is easy to see that K_F is a finite index subgroup of the group K_E constructed above.

We note that the connection $p^*\nabla$ on F_G is induced by the unitary connection ∇^{H_0} on the reduction F_{H_0} of F_G. Therefore, the connection $p^*\nabla$ is unitary. Consequently, the subgroup $K_F \subset G$ is contained in a compact subgroup of G. Using this together with the observation that K_F is a finite index subgroup of the subgroup $K_E \subset G$ we conclude that K_E is also contained in a compact subgroup of G. Thus the connection ∇ is unitary.

We will now show that ∇ satisfies the Einstein–Hermitian equation.

Let $\mathcal{K}(\nabla)$ be the curvature of the connection ∇. From eqn. (2.8) it follows immediately that $\Lambda_{p^*\omega}\mathcal{K}(\nabla^{H_0})$ is a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{ad}(p^*E_H)$. Consequently, $\Lambda_\omega\mathcal{K}(\nabla)$ is a holomorphic section of the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$.

Since E_G is stable, it can be shown that all holomorphic sections of $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ are given by the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(G)$ of $Z_0(G)$. To prove this consider the Einstein–Hermitian connection on $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ induced by the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. We have $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)^* = \mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ because G is reductive. Hence the constant in the Einstein–Hermitian equation for $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ vanishes (see [Kob, p. 99, Proposition (1.4)(2)]). Therefore, the mean curvature for the Einstein–Hermitian connection on $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ vanishes (see [Kob, p. 51, (1.7)] for the definition of mean curvature, and [Kob, p. 99] for the expression of the Einstein–Hermitian equation in terms of mean curvature). Since the mean curvature of the Einstein–Hermitian connection on $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ vanishes, from [Kob, p. 52, Theorem (1.9)] it follows that any holomorphic section of $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is flat with respect to the Einstein–Hermitian on it. Now the fact that E_G is stable implies that any flat section of $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ for the Einstein–Hermitian on it is given by some element of $\mathfrak{z}(G)$; see the proof of [Ra1, p. 136, Proposition 3.2].

In other words, there is an element $\theta \in \mathfrak{z}(G)$ such that $\Lambda_\omega\mathcal{K}(\nabla)$ coincides with the section of $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ given by θ. Thus, we conclude that the connection ∇ is the unique Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. Since ∇ is induced by a connection on E_H, the proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 together have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let E_G be a polystable G–bundle over M. Take $E_{L(P)}$ as in Proposition 2.1. Let H be a complex reductive subgroup of $L(P)$ (not necessarily connected), and let $E_H \subset E_{L(P)}$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of $E_{L(P)}$ to H. Then E_H is preserved by the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G.*

3. Properties of a smallest reduction

Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over M. Fix a point $x_0 \in M$, and also fix a point $z_0 \in (E_G)_{x_0}$ in the fiber over x_0. Let ∇^G be the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G.

Taking parallel translations of z_0, with respect to ∇^G, along piecewise smooth paths in M based at x_0 we get a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of E_G. Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ be the topological closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in E_G. The map $G \longrightarrow (E_G)_{x_0}$ defined by $g \longmapsto z_0 g$ is an isomorphism. Using this isomorphism, the intersection $(E_G)_{x_0} \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ gives a compact subgroup $\overline{K}_E \subset G$. The subset $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \subset E_G$ is a C^∞ reduction of structure group of E_G to the subgroup $\overline{K}_E$. Let $\overline{K}_E^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex reductive subgroup of G obtained by taking the Zariski closure of $\overline{K}_E$ in G. The subset

$$E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}_E^{\mathbb{C}}} := \mathcal{S}\overline{K}_E^{\mathbb{C}} \subset E_G \tag{3.1}$$

is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G to $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$; see [Bi, Section 3] for the details. It is easy to see that the principal $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$–bundle $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ is the extension of structure group of the principal $\overline{K}_E$–bundle $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$.

We note that in [Bi], the point z_0 is taken to be in the subset of E_G given by an Einstein–Hermitian reduction of structure group (see [Bi, p. 71, (3.20)]). This was done only to make $\overline{K}_E$ lie inside a fixed maximal compact subgroup of G. If we replace the base point z_0 by $z_0 g$, where g is any point of G, then it is easy to see that the subset $\mathcal{S}$ constructed above using z_0 gets replaced by $\mathcal{S}g$. Therefore, the subgroup $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ in eqn. (3.1) gets replaced by $g^{-1}\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E g$, and the reduction $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E} \subset E_G$ in eqn. (3.1) gets replaced by $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E} g$.

We note that $(\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E, E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E})$ in eqn. (3.1) is a minimal complex reduction of E_G in the following sense: There is no complex proper subgroup of $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ to which $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ admits a holomorphic reduction of structure group which is preserved by the connection ∇^G. Indeed, this follows immediately from the construction of $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$. Furthermore, if $E_{H'} \subset E_G$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G, to a complex subgroup $H' \subset G$, satisfying the two conditions:

- $E_{H'}$ is preserved by ∇^G, and
- there is no complex proper subgroup of H' to which $E_{H'}$ admits a holomorphic reduction of structure group which is also preserved by ∇^G,

then it follows immediately that there is a point $z_0 \in (E_G)_{x_0}$ such that $E_{H'} = E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$. Indeed, z_0 can be taken to be any point of the fiber $(E_{H'})_{x_0}$.

Let H be a complex reductive subgroup of G which is not necessarily connected, and let $E_H \subset E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group to H of the stable G–bundle E_G. This reduction E_H will be called a *minimal reductive reduction* of E_G if there is no complex reductive proper subgroup of H to which E_H admits a holomorphic reduction of structure group.

In view of the above observations, using Theorem 2.3 get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over a compact connected Kähler manifold M, where G is a connected reductive complex linear algebraic group. Let $E_H \subset E_G$ be a minimal reductive reduction of E_G. Fix a point $x_0 \in M$. Then there is a point z_0 in the fiber $(E_G)_{x_0}$ such that $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ (defined in eqn.* (3.1)*) coincides with E_H. In particular, the subgroup H coincides with $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ for such a base point z_0.*

Let $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ be the adjoint bundle for E_G. So $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ is the fiber bundle over M associated to E_G for the adjoint action of G on itself. The fibers of $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ are groups isomorphic to G. Let $\mathrm{Ad}(E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E})$ be the adjoint bundle of the principal $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$–bundle $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$

defined in eqn. (3.1). Since $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G, the fiber bundle $\mathrm{Ad}(E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E})$ is a holomorphic sub–fiber bundle of $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ with the fibers of $\mathrm{Ad}(E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E})$ being subgroups of the fibers of $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$.

We noted earlier that if z_0 is replaced by $z_0 g$, where $g \in G$, then the subgroup $\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E$ gets replaced by $g^{-1}\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E g$, and $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}$ gets replaced by $E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E} g$. From this it follows immediately that the subbundle

$$\mathrm{Ad}(E^{z_0}_{\overline{K}^{\mathbb{C}}_E}) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$$

is independent of the choice of the base point z_0.

Therefore, from Theorem 3.1 we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let E_G be a stable principal G–bundle over a compact connected Kähler manifold M, where G is a connected reductive complex linear algebraic group. Then there is a unique holomorphic sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$ of the adjoint bundle* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$*, with fibers being subgroups, that satisfies the following condition: For any minimal reductive reduction E_H of E_G, the adjoint bundle* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_H)$*, which is a sub–fiber bundle of* $\mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$*, coincides with $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$.*

From Theorem 3.1 it follows that the sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G} \subset \mathrm{Ad}(E_G)$ in Corollary 3.2 is the complexification of the holonomy of the Einstein–Hermitian connection on E_G. We note that for defining stable bundles we need only the Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, the stability condition does not depend on the choice of the Kähler form in a given Kähler class. On the other hand, the Einstein–Hermitian connection on a stable bundle depends on the choice of the Kähler form. From Corollary 3.2 it follows that the sub–fiber bundle $\mathcal{G}_{E_G}$ does not depend on the choice of the Kähler form in a given Kähler class. In fact, it does not even depend on the Kähler class as long as E_G remains stable.

We note that using Corollary 3.2 and [BK, Theorem 20] it follows that the algebraic holonomy of E_G coincides with the fiber $(\mathcal{G}_{E_G})_{x_0}$ when M is a complex projective manifold.

4. KÄHLER–EINSTEIN METRIC AND IRREDUCIBILITY

A Riemannian manifold $(X\,, g_X)$ is called *locally reducible* if for each point $x \in X$, there is some open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ such that the Riemannian manifold $(U\,, g_X|_U)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a Cartesian product $(U_1 \times U_2\,, g_1 \times g_2)$, where $(U_i\,, g_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are Riemannian manifolds of positive dimensions. A Riemannian manifold is called *irreducible* if it is not locally reducible; see [Jo, p. 47].

Let $(M\,, g)$ be a compact connected Kähler manifold. The Kähler form associated to g will be denoted by ω. Let $\rho(g)$ denote the Ricci form associated to the Kähler metric g. We assume that $(M\,, g)$ satisfies the Kähler–Einstein equation

$$\rho(g) \,=\, \lambda \cdot \omega \tag{4.1}$$

for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathrm{Hol}(g) \subset \mathrm{U}(n)$ be the holonomy of the Levi–Civita connection of the Kähler metric g on the compact manifold M. We know that $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ [Jo, p. 50, Theorem 3.2.9]. Therefore, if M is simply connected, then $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$ is a connected closed subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(n)$.

Henceforth, we will employ the following conventions: The real tangent bundle of a complex manifold X will be denoted by TX, while its holomorphic tangent bundle will be denoted by $T^{1,0}X$.

The proof of the following lemma is due to Dominic D. Joyce.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that the holonomy group* $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$ *of the compact Kähler–Einstein manifold* $(M\,,g)$ *is connected. If the underlying Riemannian manifold* $(M\,,g)$ *is locally reducible, then it is isometrically isomorphic to a Cartesian product* $(M_1 \times M_2\,, g_1 \times g_2)$*, where* $(M_i\,, g_i)$*,* $i\,=\,1,2$*, are Riemannian manifolds of positive dimensions.*

Proof. Assume that $(M\,,g)$ is locally reducible. Let U be an open subset M such that $(U\,, g|_U)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a product $(U_1{\times}U_2\,, g_1{\times}g_2)$, where $(U_i\,, g_i)$, $i\,=\,1,2$, are Riemannian manifolds of positive dimensions. Fixing such an isometry, we get an orthogonal decomposition of the real tangent bundle

$$TU \,=\, p_1^*TU_1 \oplus p_2^*TU_2\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where $p_i\,:\,U_1\times U_2 \,\longrightarrow\, U_i$, $i\,=\,1,2$, are the natural projections.

Since $g|_U \,=\, g_1 \times g_2$, the decomposition in eqn. (4.2) is preserved by the Levi–Civita connection for $g|_U$. Also, the assumption that g satisfies the Kähler–Einstein equation in eqn. (4.1) implies that g is real analytic with respect to the holomorphic coordinates on M [DK, p. 259, Theorem 6.1(c)]. Therefore, the assumption that the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$ is connected implies that the holonomy of $(U\,, g|_U)$ is dense in $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$. Consequently, the subbundles in the decomposition of TU given in eqn. (4.2) are preserved by $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$.

Hence the local decomposition in eqn. (4.2) over U extends to an orthogonal decomposition of the vector bundle TM over M

$$TM \,=\, W_1 \oplus W_2 \tag{4.3}$$

which is preserved by the Levi–Civita connection for g (see [Jo, p. 47, Proposition 3.2.2]). To construct the subbundle W_i, fix a point $z \,\in\, U$, and take parallel translations of the subspace $T_zU_i \,\subset\, T_zM$ along the piecewise smooth paths in M based at z.

Now from [Jo, p. 47, Proposition 3.2.3] and [Jo, p. 49, Theorem 3.2.7] the lemma follows. □

We note that if the constant λ in eqn. (4.1) is nonzero, then the compact Kähler manifold M is a complex projective manifold.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that the following three conditions are valid:*

- *the constant* λ *in eqn.* (4.1) *is nonzero,*
- *the holonomy* $\mathrm{Hol}(g)$ *of the Kähler–Einstein manifold* $(M\,,g)$ *is connected, and*
- *the underlying compact Riemannian manifold* $(M\,,g)$ *is locally reducible.*

Then $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{NS}(M))\,\geq\,2$*, where* $\mathrm{NS}(M)$ *is the Néron–Severi group of the variety* M*.*

Proof. Since $(M\,,g)$ is locally reducible, by Lemma 4.1 it is isometrically isomorphic to a Cartesian product $(M_1\times M_2\,,g_1\times g_2)$, where $(M_i\,,g_i)$, $i\,=\,1,2$, are Riemannian manifolds of positive dimensions. We fix such an isometry; using it, the Riemannian manifold $(M\,,g)$ will be identified with $(M_1\times M_2\,,g_1\times g_2)$. Let

$$p_i\,:\,M_1\times M_2\,\longrightarrow\,M_i\,, \tag{4.4}$$

$i\,=\,1,2$, be the natural projections. Let

$$q_i\,:\,TM\,=\,T(M_1\times M_2)\,\longrightarrow\,p_i^*TM_i \tag{4.5}$$

be the differential of the above map p_i with TM being the real tangent bundle. Let

$$f_i\,:\,p_i^*TM_i\,\longrightarrow\,T(M_1\times M_2)\,=\,TM\,, \tag{4.6}$$

$i\,=\,1,2$, be the natural inclusions.

Let

$$J\,:\,TM\,\longrightarrow\,TM$$

be the almost complex structure of M, where TM is the real tangent bundle of M. We have a smooth homomorphism of vector bundles

$$\delta_i\,:\,TM\,\longrightarrow\,TM \tag{4.7}$$

defined by

$$v\,\longmapsto\,f_i(q_i(J(v)))-J(f_i(q_i(v)))\,,$$

where f_i and q_i are defined in eqn. (4.6) and eqn. (4.5) respectively.

Let

$$\chi\,:\,T^{0,1}M\,\longrightarrow\,\Omega^1_M\,:=\,(T^{1,0}M)^* \tag{4.8}$$

be the smooth isomorphism of complex vector bundles given by contractions of the Kähler form ω on M.

We first assume that $\lambda\,>\,0$, where λ is the constant in eqn. (4.1).

Consider δ_i constructed in eqn. (4.7). Let

$$\delta'_i\,:\,T^{1,0}M\,\longrightarrow\,T^{0,1}M$$

be the homomorphism obtained from δ_i using the canonical identifications of real vector bundles

$$T^{1,0}M\,=\,TM\,=\,T^{0,1}M \tag{4.9}$$

that are given by the maps $v\,\longmapsto\,v-\sqrt{-1}J(v)$ and $v\,\longmapsto\,v+\sqrt{-1}J(v)$. Set

$$\phi_i\,:=\,\chi\circ\delta'_i\,:\,T^{1,0}M\,\longrightarrow\,\Omega^1_M\,, \tag{4.10}$$

where χ is defined in eqn. (4.8), and δ'_i is constructed above.

Since g is a Kähler metric, both J and ω are parallel with respect to the Levi–Civita connection on M. The homomorphisms f_i and q_i are also parallel. Therefore, the section of $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$ given by ϕ_i (constructed in eqn. (4.10)) is flat with respect to the Hermitian connection on the holomorphic vector bundle $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$ induced by the Levi–Civita connection on $T^{1,0}M$. In particular, ϕ_i is a holomorphic section of $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$. Let

$$\sigma_i \,\in\, H^0(M,\, \Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M) \tag{4.11}$$

be the holomorphic section give by ϕ_i.

A Kähler–Einstein metric on M is an Einstein–Hermitian metric on the holomorphic vector bundle $T^{1,0}M$. Therefore, the Hermitian metric on the holomorphic vector bundle $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$ induced by g is Einstein–Hermitian. Consequently, the vector bundle $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$ is polystable. The condition that λ in eqn. (4.1) is positive implies that

$$\text{degree}(\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M) \,<\, 0\,.$$

Since $\Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M$ is polystable of negative degree, we know that

$$H^0(M,\, \Omega^1_M \otimes \Omega^1_M) \,=\, 0\,.$$

In particular, the section σ_i in eqn. (4.11) vanishes. From this it follows immediately that the homomorphism δ_i in eqn. (4.7) vanishes.

Since δ_i vanishes, the image of the homomorphism f_i in eqn. (4.6) is preserved by J. The flatness of J ensures that the restriction of the homomorphism J to the image of f_i descends to an almost complex structure on M_i. In fact, the projection $p_i \,:\, M \,\longrightarrow\, M_i$ in eqn. (4.4) is holomorphic. In other words, M_i has a unique complex structure satisfying the condition that the map p_i is holomorphic.

The complex manifolds M_1 and M_2 are projective algebraic. Indeed, for any positive $(1\,,1)$–form θ on M representing a rational cohomology class, the fiber integral

$$\int_{M/M_1} \theta^{1+\dim_{\mathbb C} M_2} \,\in\, C^\infty(M_1,\, \Omega^{1,1}_{M_1})$$

is a positive $(1\,,1)$–form on M_1 representing a rational cohomology class. Hence M_1 is a complex projective manifold.

Since both M_1 and M_2 are complex projective manifolds of positive dimensions, we have $\text{rank}(\text{NS}(M)) \,\geq\, 2$ under the assumption that λ in eqn. (4.1) is positive.

Now we assume that $\lambda \,<\, 0$, where λ is the constant in eqn. (4.1).

Let

$$\delta''_i \,:\, T^{0,1}M \,\longrightarrow\, T^{1,0}M$$

be the homomorphism given by δ_i (constructed in eqn. (4.7)) together with the isomorphisms in eqn. (4.9). Let

$$\psi_i \,:=\, \delta'' \circ \chi^{-1} \,:\, \Omega^1_M \,\longrightarrow\, T^{1,0}M \tag{4.12}$$

be the homomorphism, where χ is the isomorphism in eqn. (4.8).

As J, ω, f_i and q_i are all parallel with respect to the Levi–Civita connection for g, the section of $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ given by the homomorphism ψ_i (constructed in eqn. (4.12)) is flat with respect to the Hermitian connection on $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ induced by g; in particular, this section of $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ is holomorphic.

As λ in eqn. (4.1) is negative, the holomorphic vector bundle $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ is polystable of negative degree. Consequently, $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ does not admit any nonzero holomorphic sections. In particular, the section of $(T^{1,0}M)^{\otimes 2}$ given by ψ_i in eqn. (4.12) vanishes identically. This implies that δ_i in eqn. (4.7) vanishes, proving that M_i has a complex structure such that the projection p_i in eqn. (4.4) is holomorphic. Now, as before, we conclude that M_i, $i = 1, 2$, are projective algebraic, and $\text{rank}(\text{NS}(M)) \geq 2$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ☐

Any irreducible smooth complex projective variety whose canonical line bundle is ample admits a Kähler–Einstein metric [Au], [Ya]. Hence Proposition 4.2 has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. *Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety of Picard number one. If the canonical line bundle K_M is ample, then any Kähler–Einstein metric on M is an irreducible Riemannian metric.*

Proof. The constant λ in eqn. (4.1) is negative because K_M is ample, while the holonomy is connected because M is simply connected. ☐

5. Nonsymmetric Kähler–Einstein metrics

A Riemannian manifold $(X\,,g)$ is called *locally symmetric* if each point $x \in X$ admits some open neighborhood $U \subset X$ with the following property: there is an isometric involution $U \longrightarrow U$ with unique fixed point x. A Riemannian manifold is called *nonsymmetric* if it is not locally symmetric (see [Jo, p. 54, Definition 3.3.6]).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(M\,,g)$ be a connected, simply connected complete Riemannian manifold, and let J be an almost complex structure on M, such that $(M\,,g\,,J)$ is a Kähler manifold. If the Riemannian manifold $(M\,,g)$ is locally symmetric, then $(M\,,g\,,J)$ is a Hermitian symmetric space.*

Proof. Assume that the Riemannian manifold $(M\,,g)$ is locally symmetric. Since M is simply connected, and g is a complete Riemannian metric, we know that $(M\,,g)$ is globally symmetric [He, p. 223, Corollary 5.7].

Fix a point $x_0 \in M$. Let

$$\tau\,:\,M \longrightarrow M \tag{5.1}$$

be the isometric involution of M for which x_0 is an isolated fixed point. Take any point $y \in M$, and set $z = \tau(y)$. To prove that $(M\,, g\,, J)$ is Hermitian symmetric we need to show that τ is a holomorphic map.

There is a geodesic arc

$$C\, :\, [-t_0\,, t_0] \longrightarrow M$$

of unit speed such that $C(-t_0) = y$, $C(t_0) = z$ and $C(0) = x_0$. Let

$$A\, :\, T_yM \longrightarrow T_zM \tag{5.2}$$

be the isometric isomorphism obtained using parallel transport along the above geodesic arc C.

We will show that the homomorphism A in eqn. (5.2) satisfies the identity

$$A = -(\mathrm{d}\tau)(y)\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\tau$ is the differential of the map τ in eqn. (5.1).

To prove eqn. (5.3), we employ the notation of [He]. We write $M = G/K$, where G is the connected component, containing the identity element, of the isometry group of M, and $K \subset G$ is the isotropy of a fixed point $p_0 \in M$. The unique isometry of G/K with $eK = p_0$ as the isolated fixed point, which is s_{p_0} in the notation of [He], is given by an involutive automorphism σ of G (see [He, p. 208, Theorem 3.3(i)]). Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \tag{5.4}$$

be the decomposition as in [He, p. 208, Theorem 3.3(iii)], where $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ are the Lie algebras of G and K respectively.

Take any $X \in \mathfrak{p} = T_{eK}(G/K)$. Then the map

$$\gamma\, :\, \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G/K$$

defined by $s \longmapsto \exp(sX)K$ is the unique geodesic passing through eK with tangent X [He, p. 208, Theorem 3.3(iii)]. Furthermore, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\exp(X)\exp(tY)K \in T_{\gamma(1)}(G/K)$$

is the parallel transport of the tangent vector $Y \in T_{eK}(G/K)$ at eK to the point $\exp(X) = \gamma(1)$ along the geodesic γ [He, p. 208, Theorem 3.3(iii)]. Therefore, the parallel transport

$$T_{\gamma(1)}(G/K) \longrightarrow T_{\gamma(-1)}(G/K)$$

along γ coincides with the homomorphism defined by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\exp(X)\exp(tY)K \longmapsto \frac{d}{dt}\exp(-X)\exp(tY)K\,. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, since the above mentioned involution s_{p_0} of G/K is given by an automorphism of the group G, the differential, at $\gamma(1) \in G/K$, of s_{p_0}

$$(\mathrm{d}s_{p_0})(\gamma(1))\, :\, T_{\gamma(1)}(G/K) \longrightarrow T_{\gamma(-1)}(G/K)$$

coincides with the homomorphism defined by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\exp(X)\exp(tY)K \longmapsto \frac{d}{dt}\exp(-X)\exp(-tY)K\,. \tag{5.6}$$

Clearly, the homomorphisms in eqn. (5.5) and eqn. (5.6) differ by multiplication with -1. Now setting $p_0 = x_0$, and taking $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\exp(X) = y$, we conclude that eqn. (5.3) holds.

Consider the almost complex structure $J : TM \longrightarrow TM$ on M. Since $(M\,, g\,, J)$ is a Kähler manifold, the isomorphism J is parallel with respect to the Levi–Civita connection for g. This implies that

$$A \circ J_y \,=\, J_z \circ A\,,$$

where A is the isomorphism in eqn. (5.2), and J_x denotes the restriction of J to $x \in M$. Therefore, from eqn. (5.3) it follows that

$$(\mathrm{d}\tau(y)) \circ J_y \,=\, J_z \circ (\mathrm{d}\tau(y))\,. \tag{5.7}$$

From eqn. (5.7) we conclude that the map τ is holomorphic. In other words, $(M\,, g\,, J)$ is a Hermitian symmetric space. This completes the proof of the proposition. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety. Assume that M admits a Kähler metric such that the underlying Riemannian manifold is locally symmetric. Then M is biholomorphic to $G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$, where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex semisimple linear algebraic group, and $P \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a parabolic subgroup.*

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 we know that M is biholomorphic to a Hermitian symmetric space. From the classification of simply connected, compact Hermitian symmetric spaces we know that

$$M \,=\, G_{\mathbb{C}}/P\,, \tag{5.8}$$

where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex semisimple group, and $P \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a parabolic subgroup. ☐

Clearly,

$$\dim \mathrm{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/P) \,\geq\, \dim G_{\mathbb{C}}\,,$$

where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and P are as in eqn. (5.8), and $\mathrm{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/P)$ is the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$. Hence Lemma 5.2 has the following corollary:

Corollary 5.3. *Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety, with $\dim M > 0$, such that the group of all algebraic automorphism of M is finite. Assume that M admits a Kähler–Einstein metric. Then any Kähler–Einstein metric on M is nonsymmetric.*

6. ALGEBRAIC HOLONOMY AND KÄHLER–EINSTEIN METRIC

In this section we will compute the algebraic holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle of some complex projective manifolds admitting a Kähler–Einstein metric.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a simply connected, irreducible smooth complex projective variety, of complex dimension n, such that the canonical line bundle K_M is ample, and* $\text{rank}(\text{NS}(M)) = 1$. *Then the algebraic holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is* $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Fix a Kähler–Einstein metric g on M, which exists by [Au], [Ya]. We know that the algebraic holonomy of M is a complexification of the holonomy of the Kähler–Einstein metric g (see Theorem 3.1). Therefore, it suffices to show that the holonomy of g is full $\text{U}(n)$.

Corollary 4.3 says that $(M\, , g)$ is irreducible. As K_M is ample, the biholomorphic automorphism group of M is finite. Hence from Corollary 5.3 it follows that $(M\, , g)$ is nonsymmetric.

Now using the classification, due to Berger, of holonomy groups of simply connected, irreducible and nonsymmetric compact Riemannian manifolds it can be shown that the holonomy of $(M\, , g)$ is $\text{U}(n)$; see [Jo, p. 55, Theorem 3.4.1]. Indeed, in the list of holonomy groups, only $\text{U}(n)$, $\text{SU}(n)$ and $\text{Sp}(n/2)$ (the last case occurs when n is even) can arise as holonomy of simply connected, irreducible and nonsymmetric compact Kähler manifolds of complex dimension n; this follows from the fact that these are the only entries in the Berger's list of holonomy subgroups of $\text{O}(2n)$ that are conjugate to some subgroup of $\text{U}(n)$ (see [Jo, p. 58]). If the holonomy of $(M\, , g)$ is $\text{SU}(n)$ or $\text{Sp}(n/2)$, then K_M must be trivial, while we know K_M to be ample. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let M be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of complex dimension n satisfying the following four conditions:*

- *the anticanonical line bundle K_M^{-1} is ample,*
- $\text{rank}(\text{NS}(M)) = 1$,
- *the biholomorphic automorphism group of M is finite, and*
- *M admits a Kähler–Einstein metric.*

Then the algebraic holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Fix a Kähler–Einstein metric g on M. As in the proof of Theorem 6.1, we need to show that the holonomy of g is $\text{U}(n)$. We first note that M is simply connected; it follows from [Ca, p. 545, Theorem 3.5], [Kol, p. 362, Proposition 2.3] combined with [KMM, p. 766, Theorem 0.1]. Hence from Proposition 4.2 it follows that $(M\, , g)$ is irreducible, while Corollary 5.3 shows that $(M\, , g)$ is nonsymmetric. Now the theorem follows from the classification of holonomy groups mentioned in the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

As mentioned in the introduction, the following theorem was formulated by János Kollár.

Theorem 6.3. *Let M be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety admitting a Kähler metric g that satisfies the Kähler–Einstein equation*

$$\rho(g) \,=\, \lambda\cdot\omega \tag{6.1}$$

for some $\lambda\,\neq\,0$, where $\rho(g)$ is the Ricci form for g. Then there is a connected finite étale Galois cover

$$\phi\,:\,\widetilde{M}\,\longrightarrow\,M$$

such that the Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}\,,\phi^*g)$ is biholomorphically isometric to a Cartesian product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}(N_i\,,g_i)\,,$$

and furthermore,

- *each $(N_i\,,g_i)$, $i\,\in\,[1\,,d]$, is a compact connected Kähler manifold satisfying the Kähler–Einstein equation with the constant of proportionality coinciding with λ in eqn.* (6.1)*, and*
- *for each $i\,\in\,[1\,,d]$, at least one of the following two statements is valid:*

(1) *The universal cover of N_i equipped with the pull back of the Kähler metric g_i is a Hermitian symmetric space.*
(2) *The algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}N_i$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n_i,\mathbb{C})$, where n_i is the complex dimension of N_i.*

Proof. There is a finite étale Galois cover

$$\phi\,:\,\widetilde{M}\,\longrightarrow\,M\,, \tag{6.2}$$

with $\widetilde{M}$ connected, such that the holonomy of $(\widetilde{M}\,,\phi^*g)$ is connected (see [Jo, p. 50, Theorem 3.2.9]). Therefore, the Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}\,,\phi^*g)$ is isometric to a finite Cartesian product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}(N_i\,,g_i)\,,$$

where each $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is a compact Riemannian manifold whose holonomy representation is irreducible [Jo, p. 49, Theorem 3.2.7]. Fix such an isometry; using it M will be identified with $\prod_{i=1}^{d}N_i$.

From the proof of Proposition 4.2 it follows that each $(N_i\,,g_i)$ has a natural complex structure such that the obvious projection of $M\,=\,\prod_{i=1}^{d}N_i$ to N_i is holomorphic. We give some details of the argument. Let p_i be the projection of $\prod_{i=1}^{d}N_i$ to N_i, and let q_i (respectively, f_i) be the inclusion (respectively, projection) between TM and $p_i^*TN_i$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\delta}_i\,:\,TM\,\longrightarrow\,TM$$

defined by $v \longmapsto f_i(q_i(J(v))) - J(f_i(q_i(v)))$, where J is the almost complex structure of M. In the proof of Proposition 4.2 we showed that the homomorphism δ_i defined in eqn. (4.7) vanishes. It is straight–forward to check that the proof that δ_i vanishes also gives that the above defined homomorphism $\widetilde{\delta}_i$ vanishes. Now, as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we conclude that the complex structure of M induces a complex structure on the factor N_i such that the projection $\prod_{i=1}^d N_i \longrightarrow N_i$ is holomorphic.

It is straight–forward to check that g_i is a Kähler metric on the complex manifold N_i. Since g satisfies the equation in eqn. (6.1), it follows that

$$\rho(g_i) \,=\, \lambda \cdot \omega_i\,,$$

where $\rho(g_i)$ is the Ricci form of g_i, the constant λ is as in eqn. (6.1), and ω_i is the Kähler form for g_i.

Fix some $i \,\in\, [1\,,d]$, and consider $(N_i\,,g_i)$. If $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is locally symmetric, then from Proposition 5.1 we know that the universal cover of N_i equipped with the pull back of the Kähler metric g_i is actually a Hermitian symmetric space.

Now assume that $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is nonsymmetric. We need to show that the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}N_i$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n_i)$, where $n_i \,=\, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N_i$. For that it suffices to show that the holonomy of $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is $\mathrm{U}(n_i)$.

Assume that $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is locally reducible. From the fact that the holonomy of $(\widetilde{M}\,,\phi^*g)$ is connected it follows immediately that the holonomy of $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is also connected. Therefore, using Lemma 4.1 we conclude that the holonomy of the Kähler–Einstein manifold $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is a product. But this contradicts the fact that the holonomy representation of $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is irreducible. Hence we conclude that $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is irreducible.

Now from the list of holonomy groups we conclude that the holonomy of $(N_i\,,g_i)$ is $\mathrm{U}(n_i)$; the details of the argument are in the proof of Theorem 6.1. This completes the proof of the theorem. ☐

Remark 6.4. We put down a few remarks on Theorem 6.3.

1. If λ in eqn. (6.1) is positive, then M is simply connected. Hence in that case $\widetilde{M} \,=\, M$.
2. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a simple linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and $P \,\subset\, G_{\mathbb{C}}$ a parabolic subgroup satisfying the following condition: the adjoint action of P on the nilpotent radical of the Lie algebra of P is irreducible. Such a parabolic subgroup is necessarily a maximal one. In [BK, Proposition 11] it is shown that the algebraic holonomy of $T^{1,0}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/P)$ is $L(P)/Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $L(P)$ is the Levi quotient of P, and $Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the center of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.
3. Take an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space G/K of noncompact type. In particular, G is a simple real group, and $K \,\subset\, G$ is a maximal compact subgroup. Take a torsionfree cocompact lattice $\Gamma \,\subset\, G$. It can be shown that the holonomy of $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ is $K/Z(G)$, where $Z(G) \,\subset\, G$ is the center. Therefore, the algebraic

holonomy of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}(\Gamma\backslash G/K)$ is the Zariski closure of $K/Z(G)$ in the group of all complex linear automorphisms of $T^{1,0}_{eK}(G/K) = \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is as in eqn. (5.4).

References

[Au] T. Aubin, *Équations du type Monge–Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes*, Comp. Ren. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **283** (1976), 119–121.

[AB] B. Anchouche and I. Biswas, *Einstein–Hermitian connections on polystable principal bundles over a compact Kähler manifold*, Amer. Jour. Math. **123** (2001), 207–228.

[BK] V. Balaji and J. Kollár, *Holonomy groups of stable vector bundles*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (to appear), math.AG/0601120.

[Bi] I. Biswas, *Stable bundles and extension of structure group*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **23** (2005), 67–78.

[Ca] F. Campana, *On twistor spaces of the class $\mathcal{C}$*, Jour. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), 541–549.

[De] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, (in: *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, by P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus and K.-Y. Shih), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.

[DK] D. M. DeTruck and J. L. Kazdan, *Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Ann. Sci. Éco. Norm. Sup. **14** (1981), 249–260.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1978.

[Jo] D. D. Joyce, *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.

[Kob] S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Publications of the Math. Society of Japan 15, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton University Press, 1987.

[Kol] J. Kollár, *Fundamental groups of rationally connected varieties*, Michigan Math. Jour. **48** (2000), 359–368.

[KMM] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, Jour. Diff. Geom. **36** (1992), 765–779.

[RS] A. Ramanathan and S. Subramanian, *Einstein–Hermitian connections on principal bundles and stability*, Jour. Reine Angew. Math. **390** (1988), 21–31.

[Ra1] A. Ramanathan, *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math. Ann. **213** (1975), 129–152.

[Ra2] A. Ramanathan, *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves: I*, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **106** (1996), 301–328.

[Ya] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339–411.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`